TELECOMMUNICATIONS REGULATORY COMMISSION (TRC)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR IMPLEMENTING MOBILE NUMBER PORTABILITY IN JORDAN

Umniah's Comments on the Draft Instructions for Implementing Mobile Number Portability in Jordan

Introduction

We appreciate the TRC's initiative in issuing the **"Instructions for Implementing Mobile Number Portability (MNP) in Jordan"** and the opportunity to comment on this public consultation. The implementation of MNP is a critical pro-competitive measure that empowers consumers to retain their mobile numbers when switching service providers, thereby removing a key barrier to competition.

We reiterate our support for the TRC's determined efforts to implement Mobile Number Portability in Jordan. The draft Instructions are a strong step toward finally bringing Jordan in line with global telecom best practices in this area. Our comments below identified certain gaps in the draft, particularly regarding cost allocation, all-network routing obligations, dispute resolution, and future-proofing, which we are providing suggestions to amend or supplement the draft Articles to address these points. We believe the below comments will help avoid ambiguity and disputes during implementation and will give all stakeholders (operators, the MNP Clearinghouse, and consumers) a clear understanding of their rights and obligations.

Kindly below with our comments referring to relevant draft articles:

Article	<u>Comments</u>
Article (1) Definitions	The current definition of "Operator" is limited to mobile service
	providers, excluding other licensed entities such as fixed-line operators.
The expressions, phrases and acronyms used in these instructions shall	However, these licensees also originate and terminate calls to mobile
have the following meanings;	numbers and are therefore directly involved in the routing of traffic to
a) Mobile Number Portability (MNP): the ability of mobile customers	ported numbers.
to retain their mobile numbers when changing the mobile network	
operator.	To maintain the integrity and efficiency of the MNP system, all licensees
b) All Call Query (ACQ) - means direct traffic routing where the	involved in call origination must interface with the central MNPC
originating network, prior to establishing any call or SMS, determines	database. This is especially critical in an All Call Query (ACQ) routing
the network to which the called number is currently located. All Call	environment to ensure accurate call delivery. The exclusion of fixed
Query direct routing shall be used for all traffic originated and	operators from the definition of "Operator" may create uncertainty and
terminated in Jordan.	weaken enforcement of routing obligations.

c) Mobile Number Portability Clearinghouse (MNPC) - the entity	We suggest including a clarifying clause that all licensees involved in call
engaged by the Operators which is authorized by the TRC to operate	origination (mobile, fixed) must comply with routing and database
and manage the mobile number portability administration service,	update obligations related to MNP.
and centralized database that manages the delivery of number	
portability services in Jordan.	Since Tariff Transparency is referenced in Article 6, we suggest that it
d) Donor Operator - is the operator to whom the mobile number	should be clearly defined, "Tariff Transparency refers to the ability of
belongs at the time the subscriber makes a request for porting.	subscribers to clearly and easily identify, before initiating a call or
e) Additional Conveyance Costs - are the specific extra costs incurred	session, whether the destination number is on-net or off-net"
by an operator to convey traffic to ported numbers compared to	
conveying traffic to non-ported numbers, including but not limited to	
transit (signaling) and the database look up costs.	
f) Mobile Number Portability Administration Rules (MNP Business	
Rules) - the document that defines the rules and conditions that apply	
in terms of ranking and provision of the number portability process	
for mobile postpaid and prepaid subscribers in Jordan.	
g) Mobile Number Portability Working Group/ Steering Group	
(MNPWG/SG) - means the groups of managements and experts in	
relevant fields that represent the operators, subject to mobile	
number portability, to collaborate to progress the timely	
development, implementation and launch of the Jordan Mobile	
Number Portability Service. This group is led and supervised by the	
TRC.	
h) License means License Agreement and all Schedules attached	
thereto, as amended or modified in accordance with the terms	
thereof.	
i) Licensee means a person who has acquired a License in accordance	
with the provisions of the Law.	
j) Operator An operator is a licensee who runs a telecommunications	
system under a license granted in accordance with the	
Telecommunications Law No.13 for the year 1995 and its	
amendments and provides mobile services in Jordan.	
k) Recipient Operator is the operator who will provide	
communications service to the subscriber after porting.	

I) Customer means any Person who has entered into a contract with	
the Licensee for the provision of mobile telecom services.	
 Article (2) Requirements of the Mobile Number Portability service a) Mobile Number Portability shall be Recipient Led requiring the recipient operator to manage the porting transaction on behalf of the mobile customer. b) Customer porting request will be completed within 24 hours after the request is initiated by the recipient operator. c) Customer requesting to use the Mobile Number Portability Service will be required to either visit the retail store or meet the designated sales agent of the recipient operator or any other available channel approved by the TRC to initiate their porting request. d) The Mobile Number Portability Service in Jordan will require the customer to validate the ownership of the number(s) to be ported and confirmation to progress with the porting transaction by sending a free of charge SMS to the MNPC. 	 Article (2) outlines the general porting process requirements but lacks clarity in two important areas: 1. Clause (c): In line with Jordan's national digital transformation strategy and global best practices, enabling customers to submit porting requests digitally (e.g. via mobile apps, operator websites, or secure e-KYC platforms) is essential for improving customer experience, reducing porting time, and minimizing operational costs. Requiring physical store visits may create unnecessary barriers and limit consumer adoption of the MNP service. We recommend that Article (2)(c) be revised to explicitly include digital self-service channels as an acceptable method for initiating MNP requests, subject to TRC approval and security validation standards. Accordingly, we proposed revision to Article (2)(c) as below: <i>"Customer requesting to use the Mobile Number Portability Service may initiate their porting request through a TRC-approved channel, including but not limited to visiting a retail store or meeting a designated sales agent of the recipient operator, or using secure digital platforms to initiate porting request such as mobile apps or websites, in accordance with TRC guidelines".</i> 2. Clause (d): SMS-based validation: While the draft requires customers to send an SMS for validation (Article 2.d), this step is only applicable under Option 1a (Break Before Make – Remote Initiation) as per the draft MNPC RFP. It does not apply to Options 1b or 2, which are equally under evaluation by the TRC. This could lead to confusion or misalignment between the Instructions and the final porting model selected. We propose the following amendment: <i>"Where applicable, the customer shall validate the ownership of the number(s) to be ported by sending an SMS to the MNPC or</i>

	 through other verification methods defined in the applicable porting model. The validation mechanism shall be aligned with the MNP process approved by the TRC, as detailed in the MNP Business Rules." 3. Scope of subscriptions: The draft does not explicitly define the types of mobile subscriptions covered (Standard Mobile voice/data services), which is essential for proper implementation and enforcement. We propose the following amendment: "These Instructions apply to all standard mobile (Voice/Data) subscriptions, subject to the scope defined in the TRC's MNP Business Rules."
 Article (3) Role and Involvement of the TRC a) The TRC will work with the related operators through working and steering groups (MNPWG\SG) to determine appropriate technological and operational solutions to implement Mobile Number Portability. b) The TRC will oversee the deployment of mobile portability by establishing reasonable deadlines for implementation. c) The TRC will continue to maintain oversight over any procedural or technical issues and disputes that may arise. d) Each mobile operator shall ensure its own network readiness for implementing Mobile Number Portability. 	 While Article (3) appropriately outlines the TRC's central role in coordinating and overseeing the implementation of Mobile Number Portability, we believe it would be beneficial to further articulate the TRC's enforcement powers in relation to ensuring timely compliance with implementation milestones. Given the complexity and multi-stakeholder nature of the MNP project, the success of implementation relies not only on collaboration but also on clearly defined accountability. Clarifying the TRC's ability to take appropriate regulatory action in case of delay or non-compliance that would help reinforce its leadership role and ensure all parties remain aligned with the timeline plan and expectations. This enhancement would also serve as a proactive measure to minimize the risk of procedural delays or misinterpretation among stakeholders. We respectfully propose the addition of a clause under Article (3), as below: <i>"The TRC may issue binding directions and take appropriate regulatory measures, including the imposition of penalties, in cases of non-compliance with agreed implementation milestones or any action that may hinder or delay the MNP rollout."</i>

	• Clause (d) assigns responsibility to operators, not to the TRC. Therefore, we believe its placement under an article titled "Role and Involvement of the TRC" is not entirely appropriate. Accordingly, we suggest removing clause (d) from Article (3) and adding the following to Article (2) as a new first clause: " a) Each mobile operator shall ensure that its network, systems, and internal procedures are fully prepared for the implementation and operation of Mobile Number Portability, in accordance with the TRC-approved implementation plan".
Article (4) Mobile Number Portability Working Group/ Steering Group MNPWG/SG: The TRC will oversee the establishment of the MNPWG/SG. The MNPWG will define and recommend technological and operational solutions to the TRC and as well as being responsible for the timely and successful implementation and introduction of the Mobile Number Portability service. The MNPSG will oversee and provide executive stakeholder support for the Mobile Number Portability implementation programme as well as providing mediation support and executive sign-off of key programme milestones.	 Article (4) outlines the formation and responsibilities of the MNP Working Group/Steering Group (MNPWG/SG), including its role in developing and recommending technical and operational solutions. However, the current wording does not specify a mechanism for decision-making in the event of a disagreement or deadlock within the group. It is important to ensure that the implementation process is not delayed by a lack of consensus within the working group. Clarifying that the TRC retains final decision-making authority in such cases will help to maintain momentum and ensure that key milestones are met without unnecessary delays. This approach is consistent with the TRC's regulatory mandate and leadership role in overseeing national telecom initiatives. We kindly suggest adding the following clause: <i>"In the event of a disagreement or failure to reach consensus within the MNPWG/SG, the TRC shall have the authority to issue final and binding</i>
Article (5) Cost:	decision to ensure timely progress of the Mobile Number Portability implementation."

a) Mobile number portability service shall be free of charge to customers. Mobile operators will not be permitted to levy charges on	While Article (5) sets the high-level cost principles, further clarification is needed to avoid ambiguity around cost-sharing responsibilities and
customers requesting to port their mobile numbers.	inter-operator charges.
 b) All mobile operators shall share in the costs of the MNPC set-up and operation and additional traffic conveyance. c) Each mobile operator shall bear its own cost for internal network set-up. 	 Clause (b) refers to sharing "additional traffic conveyance" which we believe is not the case, each operator should bear its own internal and incremental conveyance costs. The said article does not specify if per-port transaction fees between operators (from Recipient Operator to Donor Operator) will be applied. Lack of clarity here could result in inconsistent practices or create financial barriers to porting. Additionally, it is important to clearly distinguish internal operator costs, shared MNPC costs, and per-port operational charges.
	 We suggest the following clarifications and additions to ensure a fair and transparent cost framework: Each operator should bear its own internal and incremental conveyance costs, such as database queries and routing upgrades. The MNPC set-up and operational costs should be shared equally among all mobile operators, unless otherwise determined by the TRC. No per-port fee should be imposed on the Recipient Operator to Donor Operator, unless explicitly approved and capped by the TRC to avoid discouraging number portability. Clarify that no additional routing charges should be passed between operators (mobile and fixed) must access and update the central routing database to ensure accurate delivery of calls to ported numbers.
Article (6) Tariff Transparency	We believe that the approach to tariff transparency should be unified
	across all operators to ensure consistency in how information is
The operators shall consider an approach to reduce the Tariff	presented to customers and to avoid confusion resulting from the use of
Transparency problem for mobile customers.	different methods by each operator.

Article (7) Mobile Number Portability Clearinghouse (MNPC) The Mobile Portability Service will be centrally managed by a third party that shall have authorization from the TRC. The MNPWG shall progress the establishment of the number portability clearinghouse in order to facilitate the implementation and operation of Mobile Number Portability and make it more administratively efficient. The Central Number Portability Clearinghouse shall be procured and equally paid for by the mobile operators.	We therefore suggest that the tariff transparency mechanism be defined collectively by all operators through the Mobile Number Portability Business Rules and be subject to TRC review and approval. While Article (7) establishes the centralized clearinghouse function, it does not clarify how ported number data will be accessed or updated by all relevant operators, including fixed-line operators who also originate / terminate calls to mobile numbers. To ensure the correct routing of calls and messages in the All Call Query (ACQ) environment, all licensees (not just mobile operators) must have timely and consistent access to accurate routing data from the MNPC. Although the technical details of integration can be handled by the MNPWG, the regulatory requirement for access and timely updates should be clearly stated in the Instructions to avoid gaps in implementation and enforcement. Suggested amendment to Article (7): <i>"The central MNPC database of ported numbers shall be made accessible to all licensed operators (including mobile & fixed licensees) to support accurate All Call Query (ACQ) routing. All licensees shall be required to update their routing information in a timely manner with each number porting through real-time database access provided by the MNPC."</i>
 Article (8) Technical/Architectural Solutions a) All operators are required to implement and operate All Call Query Direct routing for all traffic originated and terminated in Jordan destined for ported and non-ported numbers. All operators shall reach an agreement on the technical and 	 Article (8) appropriately mandates All Call Query (ACQ) direct routing for mobile operators; however, it does not explicitly extend this obligation to all licensees, including fixed-line operators, who also originate traffic to mobile numbers. Accurate and efficient routing of calls and messages to ported numbers depends on all originating networks (whether mobile or fixed) having

architectural solution for Mobile Number Portability implementation. b) Mobile operators are required to implement and operate automated porting processes that interconnect the operator's business systems with the MNPC to automatically process the defined validation, deactivation, and activation activities once the initial porting request is submitted to the central number portability clearinghouse by the recipient operator.	access to and implementing the ACQ solution. Limiting this obligation to mobile operators risks inconsistent routing practices and could compromise the integrity of the MNP system. To ensure complete interoperability and prevent routing errors, it is essential that the obligation applies uniformly to all telecom licensees who originate traffic in Jordan. We respectfully suggest revising the article to explicitly include all licensed operators, and to emphasize the prohibition of fallback routing methods such as onward forwarding, which can lead to inefficiencies and call failures. Proposed amendment to Article (8): <i>"All Licensees including mobile and fixed-line operators, shall implement and operate All Call Query (ACQ) direct routing for all calls and messages originated and terminated in Jordan, to ensure accurate delivery to the current network of the dialed number. This obligation applies to any Licensee originating traffic to a ported number."</i>
 Article (9) Procedural Matters a) The MNPWG shall serve an active role in determining the technical solution to be implemented. The MNPWG shall make recommendations to the TRC regarding key functions and activities related to the mobile number portability service and the corresponding implementation and launch of the service. The TRC will consider and approve recommendations received from the MNPWG but only the TRC will be the final decision-making authority. b) Any mobile operator that commits a fraudulent port shall bear all the costs for reversing the port and shall be subject to penalties in accordance with the license agreement and TRC Regulations. c) The mobile operators shall institute "barrier free" porting procedures and shall not refuse a valid porting request except under 	

 specified circumstances as agreed and established by the MNPWG and approved by the TRC. d) The Mobile Number Portability service will be governed by the provisions defined in the Mobile Number Portability Business Rules framework document which will be developed by the MNPWG and approved by the TRC. The Mobile Number Portability Business Rules will define the mobile porting process, activities and functions, as well as the responsibilities for all related operators to ensure an efficient and consumer-centric porting experience. e) Mobile operators shall issue customer education guidelines that outline porting procedures in order to better inform customers and to ensure a smooth porting transition. 	
Article (10) Implementation Plan a) The technical, operational approaches and the business rules for the implementation of Mobile Number Portability shall be addressed and studied by the MNPWG and shall be approved by the TRC. b) The solution shall be fully implemented within (12) months from issuing these Instructions. At least within 2 months from the issuing of these Instructions, the MNPWG is required to file a realistic implementation plan to the TRC for approval, including clearly defined activity milestones which all mobile operators will be required to meet. Any mobile operator that fails to comply with the implementation plan or meet one or more agreed activity milestone(s) shall be subject to penalties in accordance with the Telecommunications Law and TRC Regulation.	 While Article (10) sets a clear implementation timeline and enforcement mechanism, further clarification is recommended in two key areas: the role of the MNPWG/SG and the process for resolving disputes that may arise during implementation. We fully support the collaborative role of the MNP Working Group/Steering Group (MNPWG/SG) in coordinating the technical and operational aspects of MNP. However, it is important to reaffirm that this group functions in an advisory and facilitative capacity, and that the TRC retains the ultimate regulatory authority and decision-making power. Additionally, the draft does not currently outline a structured dispute resolution mechanism to address potential disagreements between stakeholders (e.g., between operators or between an operator and the MNPC provider). Without a formal process, such disputes could cause delays and uncertainty. 1. Clarify the advisory role of the MNPWG/SG, and reinforce that any outputs from the group are subject to TRC approval. <i>Suggested clause: "The MNPWG/SG shall make every effort to reach consensus on technical and procedural matters and submit its recommendations to the TRC. However, all final decisions shall rest with the TRC. In cases where consensus cannot be reached</i>

 within the group, the TRC shall determine the appropriate course of action to maintain the implementation timeline." 2. Introduce a formal dispute resolution clause within the Instructions to ensure timely resolution of issues. Suggested clause: "Should the operators, through the MNPWG/SG, be unable to reach agreement on any matter related to the implementation of MNP, or should a dispute arise between any two or more stakeholders including between an operator and the MNPC provider, the matter shall be referred to the TRC for resolution. The TRC shall have the authority to issue binding directions or decisions, which shall be final and enforceable by all parties."
While the current draft provides a solid foundation for the initial implementation of Mobile Number Portability (MNP) and given the dynamic nature of telecommunications services and the likelihood of new operational, technical, or regulatory considerations may emerge over time. To maintain the relevance and effectiveness of the MNP framework, it is important that the TRC retains the ability to adapt the Instructions when needed.
Flexibility is essential to ensure that the TRC can respond to evolving market needs, refining porting procedures, addressing unforeseen challenges, or adjusting cost-sharing and service level frameworks. Jordan's Telecommunications Law (Article 12(a)(2)) already empowers the TRC to issue necessary regulatory decisions, and it would be appropriate to reflect this capability explicitly in the MNP Instructions to avoid ambiguity, ensuring that such decisions are discussed with operators in advance would promote transparency, cooperation, and practical alignment with market realities.
We suggest adding the following article to the draft instructions which we believe it would ensure that the regulatory framework remains responsive, resilient, and future proof, enabling the TRC to safeguard the

success and sustainability of MNP in Jordan, and affirms the importance of consultation with licensed operators: **Proposed Article (11):** *"The TRC may, as necessary, issue supplementary decisions, guidelines, or amendments to these Instructions to address any technical, operational, or regulatory issues that may arise during the implementation or continued operation of Mobile Number Portability. Prior to issuing such decisions, the TRC will consult with the concerned licensees through the MNP Working Group or other appropriate consultation channels. All licensees shall be required to comply with any such decisions or updates issued by the TRC in relation to MNP."*